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**New Editor, Law & History Review**

The Executive Committee of the American Society for Legal History is pleased to announce the appointment of David S. Tanenhaus, James E. Rogers Professor of History and Law and Associate Professor of History at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, as editor of the *Law and History Review*, effective January 1, 2004. He succeeds Christopher Tomlins of the American Bar Foundation, who in his nine years as editor expanded the journal from two issues a year to three, extended its intellectual range beyond the traditional focus on American and British legal history, and led the way into the digital future by joining the History Cooperative and other on-line archives.

Professor Tanenhaus is the author of *Juvenile Justice in the Making* (Oxford University Press, 2004) and of several articles and book chapters, and co-editor of *A Century of Juvenile Justice* (University of Chicago Press, 2002). He received his Ph.D. in History from the University of Chicago and has taught at UNLV since 1997.

Authors should continue to direct manuscripts and editorial correspondence to Chris Tomlins at the American Bar Foundation, 750 Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60611 until further notice.
2004 Annual Meeting, Austin, Texas

The Society’s thirty-fourth annual meeting will be held October 28-31, in Austin, Texas. The host hotels will be the Driskill and the Stephen F. Austin. Additional information about the meeting will be available on the Society’s web page at <http://www.h-net.org/~law/ASLH/conferences/aslh_conference_2004.htm>.

2003 Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C.

The Society’s thirty-third annual meeting was held November 13-15, in Washington, D.C. Special thanks go to co-chairs of the local arrangements committee, LEWIS GROSSMAN and JAMES P. MAY, both at American University’s Washington College of Law.

Thanks also go to the Program Committee: ARIELA GROSS, University of Southern California, chair; Ed Balleisen, Duke University; Holly Brewer, North Carolina State University; Alejandro De la Fuente, University of Pittsburgh; Laura Edwards, Duke University; Darryl Flaherty, Columbia University; Ron Harris, Tel Aviv University; Jill Hasday, University of Chicago; Ken Ledford, Case Western Reserve University; Gerry Leonard, Boston University; Emily Osborn, University of Notre Dame; Cynthia Patterson, Emory University; David Rabban, University of Texas; David Seipp, Boston University; Clyde Spillenger, University of California, Los Angeles; Carolyn Strange, University of Toronto; and John Witt, Columbia University.

2003 Annual Meeting, Board of Directors

The full minutes of the Board of Directors meeting are posted on the Society’s web page <http://www2.h-net.msu.edu/~law/>. Key announcements made at the meeting were these:

Approval of the following:

1. A new dues structure, as follows:
   - For individual members, with annual income:
     - below $50,000 - $60
     - $50,000 to $74,999 - $70
     - $75,000 to $99,999 - $80
     - $100,000 to $124,999 - $90
     - $125,000 to $149,999 - $100
     - $150,000 and above - $125
   - Student members - $20
   - Sponsor - $250
   - Life member - $1000
   - For institutional members - $100
   - For non-US members, add $10 in each category.

2. Recommendation that the maximum number of honorary fellows be increased from 10 to 15.

If approved, section I(3) of the Society’s By-laws would read:

The directors and officers may create categories of members (including students and emeritus members) upon terms that they deem appropriate, elect honorary fellows from among distinguished legal historians residing in the United States or Canada and elect corresponding fellows from among distinguished legal historians residing in other nations and define the terms of their fellowships. Not more than one honorary fellow and not more than one corresponding fellow may be elected in any calendar year. The number of honorary fellows at one time should not exceed ten fifteen. Honorary fellows and corresponding fellows are members for life and are entitled to all privileges and rights of membership without payment of dues.

In addition, the Board heard a report on the new on-line membership directory, to be maintained by the University of Illinois Press:

The Society now has an online membership directory. You can get a view of how the complete system works by going to <http://www.press.uii.ledu/journals/lhr/directory/>. You should be asked for your subID (which is the number on the mailing address for this newsletter) for this “first time” login. Next, you’ll need to provide a personal password to use for subsequent logins. That’s it; you can forget your subID from this point forward. For those who misplace their password, the manager of the directory has installed an auto-reply system to email the password to the address on file. You’ll also notice the check-box option to remember your login information at this point so that your future connections will go directly into the database. However, you will still need to re-type your password if you chose to edit your personal record. This is an added safety measure to only give access to edit your personal account information.

********************************************************************************

NOTE: IF YOU DO NOT WANT YOUR MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION DISPLAYED, CONTACT
PAUL ARROYO <PARROYO@UILLINOIS.EDU>

********************************************************************************
Surrenery Prize

The 2003 Surrenery Prize went to Stephen Jacobson for his article, “Law and Nationalism in Nineteenth-Century Europe: The Case of Catalonia in Comparative Perspective,” which appeared in volume 20 of the Law and History Review (2002). The citation read: “Stephen Jacobson’s marvelously broad and ingenious article explores how resistance to civil law codification contributed to Catalan nationalism in the nineteenth century. He richly explains how jurists, politicians, and controversialists took a decaying regional law, revived and modernized it in the process of resisting codification, and reimagined that law as one of the foundations of Catalonia’s social identity and national solidarity. Far from being backward or stubborn, resistance to codification becomes, in Jacobson’s telling, a creative project. Jacobson’s treatment of this theme is remarkably broad. He manages to weave together the political history of nineteenth-century Catalonia and Spain; the intellectual context supplied by French, German, and English debates on codification; and an analysis of the substantive features of uncodified Catalan law that made it attractive to its advocates. In so doing, Jacobson shows just how varied, deep, and unpredictable were the connections between codification and nationalism.”

The prize committee also recommended an honorable mention for the 2003 prize be awarded to Ronen Shamir for his article, “The Comrades Law of Hebrew Workers in Palestine: A Study in Socialist Justice,” which appeared in volume 20 of the Law and History Review (2002). The citation read: “Ronen Shamir has offered a new perspective on ‘socialist justice’ by exploring the aspirations and operation of the ‘Comrades Law,’ a legal system set up by the General Federation of Hebrew Workers (the Histadrut) in pre-World War II Palestine. The Comrades Law sought, on one hand, to provide socialist justice as an alternative to the courts of the British colonial government. On the other hand, it tried to further the project of nation-building pursued by a variety of Zionist institutions before the foundation of Israel. Shamir’s masterful depiction of the tensions between these two roles allows him to contribute to debates about the possibilities and limits of socialist justice. In particular, he is able to show how the imperatives of nation-building led the Comrades Law to subordinate the education and empowerment of workers to the bureaucratic needs of the Histadrut.”

Sutherland Prize

The Sutherland Prize for 2003 went to Professor Joseph Biancalana of the University of Cincinnati College of Law for his article “Actions of Covenant, 1200-1330,” in the Spring 2002 issue of Law and History Review. In the view of the Committee’s majority: “Professor Biancalana’s article provides an admirably thorough answer to two questions of fundamental importance to the history of the common law of obligations. First, when and why did the royal courts begin requiring the plaintiff to produce a writing under the defendant’s seal as proof of their covenant? Second, how, when, and why did the remedy in actions of covenant change? Professor Biancalana’s answers are careful, insightful, and persuasive. They show a remarkable command of legal nuance and are the product of exhaustive research in the surviving manuscripts. Important in its conclusions and meticulous in its detail, this article fully merits the Sutherland Prize for 2003.”

2003 Annual Meeting Sessions

Reports from the chairs of sessions at the 2003 Annual Meeting are reprinted below to provide a summary of the work currently being done across the range of the Society’s membership.

Session on Comparative Property Rights: North America and Australia

STUART BANNER (UCLA-law) and ANDREW BUCK (Macquarie-law) gave the first two papers, both exploring themes focusing primarily on Australian property history, but also comparing Australia’s experience to that of other parts (or ex-parts) of the British Empire. Banner’s paper took up the Australian doctrine of terra nullius in the 18th and 19th centuries, a doctrine that was extreme in recognizing no native land claims whatever. Terra nullius deviated from Imperial policy and practice elsewhere, and Banner offered several explanations that contrasted with other British settler experiences with indigenous peoples, including the settlers’ particular distaste for Aborigines, the Aborigines’ disengagement from agriculture (which was foundational in most British in land claims), and their relative military weakness. Banner argued that once in place, terra nullius became the basis for settler land transactions and could not be easily dislodged.

Buck’s paper began by sketching out the conventional comparison of North American and Australian settlement—the former supposedly driven by independent “rugged individuals,” the latter by state institutions and large landholders. He then contrasted this large mythology to an on-the-ground 19th century New South Wales legal experience: the debate over the abolition of widows’ dower. Contemporary commentators saw dower as a clag on real estate, and the debates revealed an ideal of freely transferable property among independent smallholders, quite different from the conventional view of Australian settlement as “colonial socialism”; indeed the Australian dower reform was in some ways more single-minded than the more cautious US and Canadian reforms.

PAUL KENS (Southwest Texas State-Political Science & History) gave an illustrated presentation that described his and Andrew Buck’s joint teaching project on comparative property history. Kens and Buck overlapped their two quite different classes—one at Southwest Texas State, the other at Macquarie—so as to link their respective US and Australian students via computer technology; students read and electronically discussed a set of paired Australian and US property cases. Kens described the intellectual, technological and administrative challenges, which turned out to be considerable but manageable, in a class that was evidently an eye-opening experience for participants in both institutions.

CAROL ROSE’s comments primarily touched on some further comparisons between the two substantive papers: both were stories of expropriation of relatively weak groups...
discussed the early legal Possession,” he AVALON FRAY WILLIAM Property: Creating Landscape through the Language with the Year Books, and paraphrase project his at <www.oldbaileyonline.org>; the Old Bailey Proceedings, available in English Reports and state at <www.oldbaileyonline.org>; and the OId Bailey Proceedings, available in electronic format was the <www.oldbaileyonline.org>; and the OId Bailey Proceedings, available in electronic format was the resources and canon law (Aberdeen) spoke on connected presentations. A

Constitutional Theory and Practice in the Anglo-American Eighteenth Century

JOHN MURRIN, reports: The session on Constitutional Theory and Practice in the Anglo-American Eighteenth Century featured three papers. MARY BILDER argued that Rhode Island, for all its eccentricities, had more law suits appealed to the British Privy Council than any other mainland colony and thus played an outsized role in the emergence of judicial review after independence. DANIEL HULSEBOSCH traced a shift from England’s idealized ancient constitution to the emergence of constitutional law in the early American republic. DAVID LIEBERMAN explored the differences between two foreign admirers of Britain’s eighteenth-century constitution: Montesquieu and Delolme. BARBARA BLACK added a superb comment on all three.

Athenian Judging/Athenian Judging

This panel explored issues raised by Athenian litigation in the 5th and 4th centuries BCE. Two of the papers addressed the relation between tragic performance and litigation. VICTOR BERS discussed the representation of the jury in Aeschylus’ Oresteia (a tragic trilogy performed in 458). SHEILA MURNAGHAN explored the silencing of women’s passion in both tragedy and Athenian law courts. She offered a reading of Euripides’ tragic drama Hippolytus and the speech written by Lysias for a homicide trial, “On the Murder of Erotopthes.”

Finally, ADRIAAN LANNI disputed the currently fashionable model of Athenian litigation as a contest for honor. By focusing on appeals to pity and the use of character evidence in Athenian trials, Dr. Lanni argued that Athenian courts aimed at a particularized justice that regarded the concrete situation of the actual litigants. In his commentary, KEVIN CROTTY suggested that the intellectual milieu of Athenian litigation would be captured better by a “poetics” of law than by a “philosophy” of law.

New Tricks for Finding Old Law

THOMAS P. GALLANIS (Washington & Lee University) reports: A discussion of legal-historical sources in electronic format was the theme of three connected presentations. ERNEST METZGER (Aberdeen) spoke on civil and canon law resources on the Internet, including his website <www.iuscivile.com>. DAVID SEIPP (Boston University) examined Bracton, available at <hlsl.law.harvard.edu/bracton>; the English Reports and State Trials on CD-ROM; the Old Bailey Proceedings, available at <www.oldbaileyonline.org>; and his own ongoing project to index and paraphrase the Year Books, at <www.bu.edu/law/seipp>. WILLIAM FRAY (Yale Law School) discussed the Avalon Project, which he directs, and its extensive array of on-line primary material, plus additional sources in the field of American constitutional. The Avalon Project’s URL is <www.yale.edu/lawweb/avalon/avalon.htm>. The presentations were consistently informative and prompted lively discussion. I want to express a special word of thanks to the panelists for the effort and care that have gone into each of their websites. I hope that more legal-historical sources become so widely available.

Law, Violence, and Gender

LAUREL FLETCHER, University of California, Berkeley, reports: Conference participants turned out in droves (more than 30) to listen and participate in a lively discussion regarding the relationships of gender and violence to the law. Each panelist presented a paper which captured this relationship in a particular historical period. ADRIENNE DAVIS led off with an exploration of cases of American slave women which contrasted in the legal treatment of the subjects. Davis used the stories as a vehicle to dig beyond the application of property doctrine to expose a more complicated array of legal norms that regulated slavery’s sexual dynamics. KATHERINE FRANKE examined the different types of claims that black men and black women brought to the Freedmen’s Bureau in Mississippi for resolution. While black men sought assistance from state-sanctioned violence or intrusion, black women asked for help to gain custody of children, protection against domestic violence and matters typically labeled “family” matters. The case study points to surprisingly gendered relationships of blacks to the law in the ante-bellum period. Finally, JOHN PETTEGREW presented his insights into the relationship of male identity to the law. Using the vehicle of the natural provocation doctrine, Pettegrew traced the contours of evolutionary biology, its relation to patriarchy, and to culture to offer an explanation of how the law obscures its relationship to the preservation of the privileged treatment of male violence. As with all thought-provoking discussions, the questions from the audience overwhelmed the time available for discussion. Participants were 3-deep at the podium at the conclusion of the session, eager for the opportunity to follow up individually with each of the panelists.

Liberty and Property: Legal Interpretations of Property Rights in Revolutionary and Early National America

A sizeable (especially given the early hour on Saturday) and enthusiastic audience enjoyed three excellent papers on the configuration of liberty and property rights in late 18th and early 19th century America. EMILY BLANCK’s paper, “The ‘Contest between Liberty and Property’: Freedom Suits in the Revolutionary Era,” discussed how freedom suits brought by slaves in the revolutionary and post-revolutionary periods, brought into full relief the fact that liberty and property at times contradict each other. CHRISTOPHER CURTIS’ paper, “Taking ‘Notice of an Error’: Historical Interpretations of Land Ownership in the Virginia Commonwealth,” described how American legal writers in the late 18th century, especially Thomas Jefferson and St. George Tucker, sought to revise the conventional view that the origin of American land titles was feudal in character. ELLEN PEARSON’s paper, “Taking Liberties with Native American Property: Creating a Moral Landscape through the Language of Land Possession,” discussed the ways in which legal writers in the early national period (notably James
although practiced on the continent, from Roman law entire Israeli of the rights the century in England to in the fourteenth century. He showed that this may be related to the massive litigation during the 1950s involving Palestinian Arabs who after becoming refugees in 1948 tried to cross the borders back into the territories that became the State of Israel. Based on the Israeli case, Oren illustrated the rhetorical and psychological mechanisms employed by judges in rulings that involve moral dissonance or harsh practical outcomes. In his poignant commentary RAIF ZREIK (Harvard) demonstrated how Israeli law treated the Jews from a collectivist-national perspective while considering the Arabs as individuals. Building on this observation, Zreik expounded the manifold ways in which modern law helps to shape geographical and cultural boundaries between groups or communities. LAMA ABU-ODEH (Georgetown) commented on the intricate relations between law and power. Looking back at the history of the Jewish-Arab conflict in Israel, she critically revisited the role of liberal law in dissolving (or at least appeasing) complicated violent disputes such as the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. In the short but live - general discussion that followed the audience added new valuable perspectives to the interesting debate on Israeli legal history.

Forfeitures and Penalties in the English Medieval Common Law

JANET LOENGARD, Moravian College, reports: The audience for the Saturday afternoon session heard papers by Dr. PAUL BRAND, senior research fellow at All Souls College, Oxford, and Prof. JOSEPH BIANCALANA of the University of Cincinnati School of Law, with commentary by Prof. CHARLES DONAHUE JR. of the Harvard Law School.

Discussing the origins of the action of cessavit per annum, whereby a lord could claim a tenant's land in demesne if the tenant had ceased to perform the services owed for it for a period of two years, Dr. Brand suggested that the first legislation on the matter, ch. 4 of the Statute of Gloucester of 1278, may have been drafted in response to the case that year of one Ellis of Tingewick. It provided for an action in a fairly narrowly defined situation and included a requirement that nothing distrainable be found on the land. Seven years later, ch. 21 of the Statute of Westminster II provided a general action for any lord whose tenant had ceased to perform services owed for a minimum of two years; there was no mention of the unavailability of distrain. However, the courts apparently read the requirement into the action. It has previously been suggested that cessavit per annum was primarily brought against a comtumacious tenant who refused to perform services he owed but pleadings in recorded cases show that often a defendant's refusal was based on a denial that the services claimed were owed or that they were owed to the plaintiff. Many cases brought under Westminster II were for urban property or for small rural holdings; Dr. Brand suggested that this may be related to the requirement that the tenement not be open to sufficient distrain for at least two years before the action was brought. He also discussed what the courts made of the possibility of defendant tenants saving their tenements in return for payment of arrears and offering surety for future performance of services.

Professor Biancalana spoke about the use of penalty clauses in English contracts and conveyances before the invention of the penal bond in the middle of the fourteenth century. He showed that penalty clauses began to appear in England in the later twelfth century and argued that they were borrowed mainly from Roman law as it was practiced on the continent, although

Liberty and Litigation in Comparative Perspective: England and Mexico 1550-1750

BRIAN LEVACK (University of Texas) reports: About twenty people attended this session, which focused on notions of liberty embodied in litigation during the early modern period. TIM STRETTON (St. Mary's University, Halifax) in his paper, “Marital Litigation in the Court of Requests 1542-1642,” suggested a causal connection between an increase in the number of admittedly rare cases in which wives sued their husbands, and the dominance of civilian masters on the court, especially the Italian immigrant civil lawyer Sir Julius Caesar, before James I began replacing civilians with common lawyers as masters. His paper offered a striking example of real, tangible civilian influence on English judicial decisions as well as evidence of significant changes in the types of exceptions made to cover. PAUL HALLIDAY (University of Virginia) in his paper, “Whose Liberty? Habeas Corpus 1550-1750,” explored various concepts of liberty that emerge from his study of 2200 writs of habeas corpus during these years. He argued that all early modern notions of liberty, including that of Christian liberty, involved subjection to authority and that one person's liberty often involved another's restriction. Halliday also found in these writs early evidence of a later shift from the liberty of the subject to that of the individual, from the liberty of inheritance to the liberty of natural right. BRIAN OWENSBY (University of Virginia) in his paper “Servants of the Laws: Indians, Liberty and Obedience in Seventeenth-Century Mexico,” analyzed hundreds of petitions from Indians outside Mexico City seeking amparos or writs of royal protection against Spaniards who had denied them property or liberty or had taken violent action against them. These petitions represented more than a manipulation of Spanish law to remedy the plight of the Indians and appealed to some very real notions of liberty embodied in the Spanish legal tradition. The libertad sought by the Indians involved freedom from the control of others as well as the liberty to obey the king and practice their religion. In his commentary Levack suggested ways that the neo-Roman concept of liberty as the antithesis of slavery, which did not make inroads into England until the seventeenth century, might provide a common link between English and Mexican notions of liberty.

Israeli Legal: National Security and Arab Displacement in the post-1948 Period

NIR KEDAR (Bar-Ilan University, Israel) reports: an enthusiastic audience of about 20 heard three very interesting papers and two insightful commentaries on a topic which transcends the historical interest. Adopting an interdisciplinary - legal-historical-geographical - approach, SANDY KEDAR (Haifa University, Israel) critically examined the Israeli government's use of law to institutionalize the dispossession of Palestinian Arabs displaced by the 1948 war and traced the legal transformation of their land during the formative years of Israel's land regime (1948-1960). YIFAT HOLZMAN-GAZIT (College of Management, Israel) explored the Israeli history of land expropriation and demonstrated how concerns of "national security" which governed the practice of expropriating Arab lands diffused into the Israeli "general" laws of expropriation and eventually affected the rights of the entire Israeli population. OREN
Jewish money bonds also used penalty clauses. He further argued that penalty clauses came to England through the academic study and teaching of Roman law, through the use of penalty clauses by ecclesiastical authorities, and through greater contact with continental merchants (chiefly Flemish and Italian) who used penalty clauses as standard practice. He hypothesized that the penalty bond with conditional defeasance on the back of the bond did not derive from the use of straightforward penalty clauses, but from the use of conditional recognizances of debt. The recognizance entered on the plea roll or close roll was the penalty and the condition rendering the recognizance void was in a separate document. From this arrangement there developed arrangements in which a bond was the penalty and a separate conditional acquittance could render the bond void. Endorsing the separate conditions on the back of the bond produced the penal bond with conditional defeasance on the back of it.

Professor Donahue devoted most of his commentary to remarking about the very different approaches the papers took to the question of the influence of Roman and canon law on English legal development. Professor Biancalana had written in a longer paper that English courts followed Roman law with regard to the enforcement of penalties; he had suggested that either the parallel treatment was due to coincidence or the justices were guided by Roman rule. If the similarities continued over different issues, perhaps the coincidences became too remarkable to be simply coincidence. In support of Professor Biancalana’s position, Professor Donahue outlined various points of similarity with regard to the treatment of penalties. Dr. Brand had not considered a borrowing from Roman law as a root of the action of cesasvitt per biennium. But there was Romano-canonic law dating back to Justinian on a similar topic, a constitution of 529 which also authorized the holder of the land to tender payment of the rent to avoid losing the holding. A statute of 535 involving lands held from the church amended the time period required for non-payment from three to two years and the shorter period appeared in Pope Gregory IX’s compilation of decreets. It is clear from the language used there that Gregory had Justinian’s 529 constitution in mind. The interpretation of Westminster II thus has two things in common with this provision: part of an earlier statute was read into a later one and the tenant was given a way to avoid forfeiture by quickly making payment. Professor Donahue remarked that it would not be inconsistent to suggest that the Roman law provided the background, the decline of seigneurial enforcement powers the general occasion, and Ellis of Tingewick’s case the immediate impetus for ch. 4 of the Statute of Gloucester.

Smoke Screens Sounds Uncouth and Black Rams: Women in the Spaces of the Law

Despite the timing of the panel, 9:30 on a Sunday morning, the panelists were delighted to discover that the audience outnumbered them! “Fit tho’ few” (Milton), the audience was treated to papers that covered centuries and continents, from Greece and Rome to 18th- and 19th-century England, offering glimpses of the persistent efforts by the patriarchal social body to enforce the paradoxical-to-contain women within the law while simultaneously preventing the disruptions that such containment must necessarily entail.

Two papers – by LESLEY DEAN-JONES and JUDITH EVANS-GRUBBS – addressed the ancient world’s (4th-century Greek and late Roman) efforts to legislate women’s issues at the same time as the law severely limited women’s capacity to speak or be spoken of in courts of law. In “Prostitution as a smoke screen in a 4th-century B.C. lawsuit,” Lesley Dean-Jones read Apollodorus’ prosecution of the prostitute, Neaira (340 B.C.) as an elaborate rhetorical exercise in not saying what must be said - the name of the daughter born to Neaira, Phano, who was a legitimate citizen of Athens and whose name should thus never been spoken in court. Judith Evans-Grubbs’ paper, “Patriarchy as usual: women, children and the family tribunal in late Roman law,” demonstrated how as late Roman legal institutions controlling women’s actions (utulæ mullerum and patria potestas) went into decline in the early fourth century, others were revived to ensure that male family members continued to judge matters involving women’s sexual conduct.

This need to control women’s physical and metaphoric disruptions to law and its patriarchal institutions appears again in the two other papers by KATHERINE TEMPLE and SUSAN SAGE HEINZELMAN. Katherine Temple’s paper “The ‘Sounds uncouth’ of Westminster Hall,” addressed the physical space, 18th-century Westminster Hall, in which law was practiced and which was subject to the material disruption of real women’s bodies and those practices symbolically associated with women-commerce and trade. This paper suggested that these closely connected complaints about noise and women communicated a subtextual anxiety related to England’s rapid commercial expansion. Finally, Susan Sage Heinzelman (“Disturbing the Peace: Queen Caroline and the Black Ram”) explored the significance of a political cartoon, published in 1820 during the trial of Queen Caroline for adultery and treason, which depicts Caroline riding a black ram with the face of her alleged lover, Bergami. Drawing on the still-present power of manorial customs, the cartoonist represents the queen as both guilty and yet a symbol of the progressive reform movements. She is satirized as an individual and yet remains potentially subversive to the centralized, legal and political institutions of early 19th-century England-institutions already tested by the increasingly aggressive demands for reform from the working class and Whig politicians.

Economic Analysis of Legal History

GEOFFREY MILLER introduced the panel and made some prefatory remarks. He observed that the papers being presented each illustrated, in different ways, the potential for economic analysis as applied to topics in legal history. Despite pronounced differences in methodology and intellectual foundations as between the disciplines, the papers demonstrated how the techniques of economic analysis could be used to illuminate corners of legal history that have not previously been understood from that dimension.

DANIEL KLERMAN and PAUL MAHONEY’s paper, “The Value of Judicial independence: Evidence from Eighteenth-Century English Securities Prices,” uses an event study methodology drawn from modern finance theory to investigate the impact on stock and bond prices of certain developments in England in the move towards an independent judiciary during the early years of the Eighteenth Century. The authors find evidence that the market reacted positively towards key events leading up towards the Act of Settlement which guaranteed some degree of independence for British judges. Klerman and Mahoney cleverly check prices from London against prices of the same securities in Amsterdam, which received the news from
England only after a delay but which received news from the rest of the world roughly at the same time as the London market.

RICHARD BROOKS’ paper, “Covenants and Conventions: The Limited Impact of Shelley v. Kramer on the Chicago Housing Market,” used a game-theoretic framework and theories of social norms and signaling to investigate a topic in recent American legal history. Brooks deals with an important issue in the history of segregation in the North, namely, the impact of racially restrictive covenants on housing markets. He looks in particular at the city of Chicago, where such covenants were ubiquitous. Historians have debated whether these covenants had much impact: their enforceability was always in question and they were legally nullified by the Supreme Court’s decision in Shelley in 1948. Brooks argues, interestingly, that regardless of their legal enforceability, these covenants operated as signals that served as focal points for norms of behavior among homebuyers, banks, realtors and other participants in the market, and that these signals worked to perpetuate segregation in this market long after their legal enforceability had been dismantled.

BRUCE FRIER’s paper, “Adverse Selection in Market Sales of Roman Slaves,” uses insights from new institutional economics to explain certain otherwise-mysterious liabilities imposed on Roman sellers for hidden defects in slaves sold to third parties. In particular, Frier applies George Akerlof’s work on lemons markets to argue for the presence of a market failure which could be remedied, at least partially, by imposing on the seller a form of limited but strict liability for undisclosed defects.

JOHN WALLIS provided commentary on each of the papers and also offered observations on the general topic of the intersection (or lack of intersection) between economics and legal history. Wallis noted that these were each thought-provoking studies which provided insight and clarification about the issues, although each was subject to problems such as lack of complete data (particularly for Bruce Frier’s paper, where price information is unavailable) or methodological difficulties.
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Laurent Mayali, University of California, Berkeley <MAYALIL@law.berkeley.edu>
Standing Committee on Conferences and the Annual Meeting

- Craig Joyce (1998), University of Houston, Chair <cjoyce@uh.edu>
- Christine A. Desan (1998), Harvard University <desan@law.harvard.edu>
- Daniel Ernst (2000), Georgetown University <ernst@law.georgetown.edu>
- Charles Donahue, Jr., (ex officio) (President-elect), Harvard University
- Jonathan Lurie (2004), Rutgers Newark <jlurie@andromeda.rutgers.edu>
- William E. Nelson (1998), New York University <NELSONW@juris.law.nyu.edu>
- David S. Tanenhaus (1998), University of Nevada, Las Vegas <tanenhad@nevada.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Cromwell Fellowship Committee

- David Konig (2004), Washington University in St. Louis, Chair <dkonig@arts.wustl.edu>
- Barbara A. Black (2004), Columbia University <hab@law.columbia.edu>
- Charles McCurdy (2004), University of Virginia <cwmi@virginia.edu>
- Richard Ross (2004), University of Wisconsin (Madison) <RRoss10688@aol.com>
- Barbara Welke (2004), University of Minnesota <welke004@tc.umn.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Committee on Documentary Preservation

- Michael J. Churgin (1982), University of Texas, Chair <mchurgin@mail.law.utexas.edu>
- Christian G. Fritz (1985), University of New Mexico <fritz@law.unm.edu>
- Michael Griffith (1990), Office of the Clerk, U. S. District Court, Northern District of California
- DeLloyd J. Guth (1988), University of Manitoba <dguth@cc.umanitoba.ca>
- J. Gordon Hylton (1998), Marquette University <joseph.hylton@marquette.edu>
- Harold M. Hyman (1998), Rice University <hyman@rice.edu>
- Maeva Marcus (1988), U.S. Supreme Court Historical Society <DocHistSC@aol.com>
- Gregory Mark (1998), Rutgers University, Newark <gmark@andromeda.rutgers.edu>
- R. Michael Reynolds (1985), U. S. National Archives
- Rayman L. Solomon (1982), Rutgers University, Camden <raysol@camlaw.rutgers.edu>
- Marsha Trimble (1992), University of Virginia

() Indicates year appointed

Honors Committee

- Gregory Alexander (2000), Cornell University, Chair <alexandr@law.mail.cornell.edu>
- Lawrence Friedman (2004), Stanford Law School <lmf@stanford.edu>
- Linda Kerber (1998), University of Iowa <lkkerber@blue.win.edu>
- James Q. Whitman (2003), Yale University <james.whitman@yale.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Willard Hurst Memorial Fund Committee

- Aviam Soifer, University of Hawaii, Chair <soifer@bc.edu>
- Mary Sarah Bilder, Boston College <bilder@bc.edu>
- Lawrence Friedman, Stanford University <lmf@stanford.edu>
- Robert W. Gordon, Yale University <robert.w.gordon@yale.edu>
- Hendrik Hartog, Princeton University <hartog@princeton.edu>
- Laura Kalman, University of California, Santa Barbara <kalman@humanitas.ucsb.edu>
- Maeva Marcus, U. S. Supreme Court Historical Society <DocHistSC@aol.com>
- Arthur J. McEvoy, University of Wisconsin (Madison) <amevoy@facstaff.wisc.edu>
- Bill Novak, University of Chicago <novak@midway.uchicago.edu>
- Kathryn Preyer, Wellesley College <KPreyer@wellesley.edu>
- Richard Ross, University of Wisconsin (Madison) <RRose10688@aol.com>
- Rayman L. Solomon, Rutgers University <raysol@camlaw.rutgers.edu>
- Chris Tomlins, American Bar Foundation <ctomlins@abfn.org>

Membership Committee

- Tony Freyer (2003), University of Alabama, Chair <tfreyer@law.ua.edu>
- Carol Chomsky (1998), University of Minnesota <tomch01@umn.edu>
- Catherine Fisk (1998), Loyola University <catherine.fisk@lls.edu>
- Thomas Gallanis (1998), Washington & Lee Univ. <gallanis@wlu.edu>
- Robert Goldman (1998), Virginia Union University <rgoldman@virginia.edu>
- Ron Harris, Tel Aviv University (1999) <harrir@post.tau.ac.il>
- Fred Konesky (1998), SUNY Buffalo <konesky@acsu.buffalo.edu>
- Kenneth Ledford (1998), Case Western Reserve University <kl15@po.cwru.edu>
- Randall McGowen (1998), University of Oregon <mcgowen@oregon.uoregon.edu>
- Walter F. Pratt Jr., (ex officio) (Secretary-Treasurer), University of Notre Dame <pratt.1@nd.edu>
- Vicky Saker Woeste, (ex officio) (Chair, 2004 Program Committee) American Bar Foundation <vswoeste@abfn.org>
Harry N. Scheiber, (ex officio) (President), University of California, Berkeley
<scheiber@uclink.berkeley.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Paul L. Murphy Grant Committee

Sandra Van Burkleo, Wayne State University, Chair <svanbur@earthlink.net>
Michal Belknap, California Western School of Law, and University of California, San Diego, Department of History <mbelknap@cwsl.edu>
John Johnson, University of Northern Iowa <johnjohnson@uni.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Nominating Committee

Thomas Gallanis (2003), Washington & Lee, Chair <gallanist@wlu.edu>
Bob Cottrol (2004), George Washington University <BCOTTROL@main.nlce.gwu.edu>
Annette Gordon-Reed (2004), New York Law School <agordon@nlysl.edu>
Sally E. Hadden (2005), Florida State University <shadden@mailjr.fl.edu>
Sarah Hanley (2003), University of Iowa <sarah-hanley@uiowa.edu>

() Indicates year term expires

Planning Committee

Sarah Barringer Gordon (2004), University of Pennsylvania, Chair <sbgordon@law.upenn.edu>
Charles Donahue, Jr. (ex officio) (President-elect), Harvard University
Robert W. Gordon (2004), Yale University <robert.w.gordon@yale.edu>
Thomas A. Green (2004), University of Michigan <tagreen@umich.edu>
Richard Helmholz (2004), University of Chicago <dick_helmholz@law.uchicago.edu>
Laura Kalman (2004), University of California, Santa Barbara <kalman@history.ucsb.edu>
William Nelson (2004), New York University <NELSONW@jurus.law.nyu.edu>
Harry N. Scheiber (ex officio) (President), University of California, Berkeley <scheiber@uclink.berkeley.edu>
Ray Solomon (2004), Rutgers (Camden) <raysol@camlaw.rutgers.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Publications Committee

Bruce H. Mann (2004), University of Pennsylvania, Chair <bmann@law.upenn.edu>

() Indicates year appointed

Surrency Prize Committee

Robert W. Gordon, Yale University, Chair <robert.w.gordon@yale.edu>
Bruce Frier, University of Michigan <bfricer@umich.edu>
Carol Weisbrod, University of Connecticut <CAROL.WEISBROD@UConn.edu>

Sutherland Prize Committee

Thomas Gallanis, Washington & Lee, acting member & chair, 2002 <gallanist@wlu.edu>
Rande Kostal, University of Western Ontario <wk@julian.uwo.ca>
Norma Landau, University of California, Davis (beginning 2003) <nlandau@ucdavis.edu>
David Millon, Washington & Lee <millond@wlu.edu>

H-Law Moderators

Jerry Arkenberg, California State University, Fullerton <jarkenberg@exchange.fullerton.edu>
Ian Mylchreest, Independent Scholar <imylchreest@cs.com>
Christopher Waldrep, California State University, San Francisco <cwaldrep5@aol.com/cwaldrep@sfsu.edu>
Cheri Wilson, Independent Scholar <cwilson366@comcast.net>

Editor of LAW AND HISTORY REVIEW

Christopher Tomlins, American Bar Foundation <clt@abfn.org>

Co-Editors of STUDIES IN LEGAL HISTORY

Thomas A. Green, University of Michigan <tagreen@umich.edu>
Daniel Ernst, Georgetown University <ernst@wpgate.law3.georgetown.edu>
Cromwell Prize

The William Nelson Cromwell Foundation will award a $5000 annual prize for excellence in scholarship in the field of American Legal History by a junior scholar, beginning in 2004.

The prize is designed to recognize and promote new work in the field by graduate students, law students, and faculty not yet tenured. The work may be in any area of American Legal History, including constitutional and comparative studies, but scholarship in the colonial and early national periods will receive some preference.

The prize will be awarded annually by the Foundation on the recommendation of a committee of the American Society for Legal History, which will consider all work published, or dissertations accepted, in the previous calendar year. It will announce the award at the annual meeting of the Society in the following autumn.

Candidates should send a hard copy version (no electronic submissions) to each member of the committee postmarked no later than July 15:

Professor David T. Konig, Chair
Department of History
Washington University in St. Louis
Campus Box 1062
One Brookings Drive
St. Louis, Missouri 63130

Professor Barbara Aronstein Black
George W. Murray Professor of Legal History
Columbia Law School
435 West 116th St.
New York, New York 10027-7297

Professor Charles W. McCurdy
Professor of History and Law
Chair, Corcoran Department of History
Randall Hall, P.O. Box 400180
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Virginia 22904

Professor Richard Ross
Department of History
University of Virginia
625 W. Stratford Place
Chicago, Illinois 60657

Professor Richard B. C. W. McCurdy
Professor of History and Law
Chair, Corcoran Department of History
Randall Hall, P.O. Box 400180
University of Virginia
Charlottesville, Virginia 22904

Fellowship Announcement:
J. Willard Hurst Summer Institute in Legal History
June 12-24, 2005
University of Wisconsin Law School – Madison, Wisconsin
Applications will be accepted from Sept. 1, 2004 - Jan. 15, 2005

Cosponsored by:
The American Society for Legal History and The Institute for Legal Studies

Invitation

The American Society for Legal History and the Institute for Legal Studies at the University of Wisconsin Law School are pleased to invite applications for the third biennial J. Willard Hurst Summer Institute in Legal History. The purpose of the Hurst Summer Institute is to advance the approach to legal scholarship fostered by J. Willard Hurst in his teaching, mentoring, and scholarship. The "Hurstian perspective" emphasizes the importance of understanding law in context; it is less interested in the characteristics of law as developed by formal legal institutions than with the way in which positive law manifests itself as the "law in action." The Hurst Summer Institute assists scholars from law, history, and other disciplines in pursuing research in legal history.

The 2005 Hurst Institute will be led by senior legal history scholars who will be announced at a later date. (The 2003 session was cochaired by Lawrence M. Friedman, Marion Rice Kirkwood Professor of Law at Stanford University, and Robert W. Gordon, Chancellor Kent Professor of Law and Legal History at Yale University.) The program also will include visiting faculty and guest lecturers. The two-week program is structured but informal, and features discussions of core readings in legal history and analysis of the work of the participants in the Institute.

The general format includes daily sessions Monday-Friday that run through early afternoon, a few scheduled social events, and ample free time for additional discussion, reading and research. Fellows will have the opportunity to conduct archival work at the Wisconsin Historical Society. The Society holds a vast array of primary documents and is particularly strong in areas involving nineteenth and twentieth century social movements and labor activism. In addition, the Library possesses an excellent collection of federal and state government material which is largely uncataloged. The Society offers open stacks, liberal copying policies, and is open six days a week.

The ASLH Hurst Selection Committee will select up to twelve Fellows to participate in this event.
Applicant Qualifications

Preference will be given to applications from scholars in the early stage of their career (beginning faculty members, doctoral students who have completed or almost completed their dissertations, and J.D. graduates with appropriate backgrounds). More advanced scholars may also apply.

Fellowship Requirements

Fellows are expected to be in residence for the entire two-week term of the Institute, to participate in all activities of the Institute, and to give an informal works-in-progress presentation in the second week of the Institute.

Fellowship Terms

The Institute for Legal Studies will pay for approved travel expenses and will provide a private hotel room for each fellow at The Lowell Inn and Conference Center, located on the University of Wisconsin-Madison campus. Most meals will be provided.

Application Process

To apply, submit the following materials and arrange to have two letters of recommendation sent to the Institute for Legal Studies, Hurst Selection Committee, UW Law School, 975 Bascom Mall, Madison, WI, 53706-1399. Please submit the original and six copies (total = 7) of your application packet, which must include the following:

1. Cover sheet with your name and complete contact information.
2. Curriculum Vitae.
3. Statement of Purpose (maximum 500 words) describing your current work, specific research interests, and what you hope to gain by attending the Institute.
4. Estimated travel costs (airfare or mileage plus any other travel related expenses).

It is not necessary to arrange for multiple copies of the letters of recommendation.

Deadlines

Applications will be accepted from September 1, 2004 - January 15, 2005. Decisions will be announced by March 15, 2005.

Additional Information

Questions about the Hurst Summer Institute may be directed to the Hurst Institute Coordinator: Pam Hollenhorst, Associate Director, Institute for Legal Studies, at <pshollen@wisc.edu> or <ils@law.wisc.edu>.

The Institute for Legal Studies offers systematic support for research and associated scholarly activity related to the “law in action,” as distinguished from doctrinal analysis of the “law on the books.” The Institute promotes the exchange, testing, and dissemination of ideas at the UW Law School through colloquia, workshops, conferences, and the hosting of visitors. For more information about ILS, Legal History and comments from participating fellows at the 2001 and 2003 Hurst Summer Institutes, consult the ILS webpage at <http://www.law.wisc.edu/ils/>.

The American Society for Legal History is a nonprofit membership organization dedicated to fostering scholarship, teaching, and study concerning the law and institutions of all legal systems. More information about ASLH is available at <http://www.h-net.msu.edu/~law/ASLH/aslh.htm>.

Journal Invites Submissions

The Journal of the Gilded Age and Progressive Era invites manuscripts from members of the ASLH on any aspect of legal history in the United States between roughly 1870 and 1920. Published by the Society for Historians of the Gilded Age and Progressive Era, this is the only journal specifically devoted to this decisive period in the history of law, the legal profession, and the judiciary in the United States. Please contact the editor: Professor Alan Lessof, Department of History, Illinois State University, Campus Box 4420, Normal, IL 61790-4420, email: ahlesso@ilstu.edu. Or go to: www.jgape.org.

Washington & Lee University Center for Law and History

Washington and Lee University announces the creation of the W&L Center for Law and History. The Center’s mission is to promote research and teaching in all areas and periods of legal history. The Center sponsors an annual Legal History Lecture, which is a major address by an internationally-distinguished legal historian. The inaugural lecture was given in September by Professor Sir John Baker (Cambridge), who spoke on “Human Rights in English Legal History.” The Center also sponsors a series of Legal History Workshops, designed to promote the discussion of scholarship in progress. In the current academic year, workshops will be led by Professors Robert Palmer (Houston), Bruce Frier (Michigan), Sarah Barringer Gordon (Penn), and Robert Gordon (Yale). Full details of the Center’s activities, and a webcast of Professor Baker’s lecture, are available on the Center’s website, <law.wlu.edu/cfl/>. 
Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars
The Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars supports research in the social sciences and humanities. Men and women from a wide variety of backgrounds, including law, other professions, government, the non-profit sector, and the corporate world, as well as academia, are eligible for appointment. Through an international competition, it offers 9-month residential fellowships to academics, public officials, journalists, and business professionals. Fellows conduct research and write in their areas of interest, while interacting with policymakers in Washington and Wilson Center staff. The Center also hosts Public Policy Scholars and Senior Scholars who conduct research and write in a variety of disciplines.

The deadline for application is October 1. Additional information, on the Center’s web site: <http://wwics.si.edu/index.cfm?fuseaction=Fellowships.welcome>

Philippa Strum, Director of the Division of United States Studies
strumpp@wwics.st.edu

University of Texas, Jamail Center for Legal Research
Corwin Johnson gives a lively account of his experiences in an oral history interview just published by U.T.'s Jamail Center for Legal Research. The interview was conducted by Sheree Scarborough, a professional oral historian who has conducted hundreds of interviews for oral history projects in Texas.

Johnson is lauded as “an institution, the genial authority” in the Foreword by his former student, James A. Baker III, the former U.S. Secretary of State and Secretary of the Treasury. In the interview, Johnson tells about teaching in the “separate but equal” law school created by the State of Texas in response to Heman Sweatt's lawsuit challenging the exclusion of African Americans from The University of Texas. A product of integrated universities, Johnson said, “I was repelled by segregation.”

Johnson, co-author of a leading textbook on property law, also discusses the Law School's rising reputation under deans Charles McCormick and Page Keeton, as well as the social life of law students and traditions such as the annual Assault & Flattery musical review.

This publication (and all other Jamail Center publications) can be ordered on the web at <http://tarlton.law.utexas.edu/pubs/>, or by contacting the Publications Coordinator (Publications Coordinator, Jamail Center for Legal Research, University of Texas School of Law, 727 East Dean Keeton St., Austin, TX 78705-3224; phone 512/471-7726; fax 512/471-0243).


UNC PRESS Titles
20% Discount and Special Offers

Books in the series Studies in Legal History, coedited by Thomas A. Green and Daniel R. Ernst
(Listed alphabetically by author; discount prices in bold; some quantities may be limited.)
Visit www.uncpress.unc.edu for more information and sample chapters.

The editors welcome submission of manuscripts for consideration by the Series. Please send to:

Professor Thomas A. Green
342 Hutchins Hall
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1215

Professor Daniel R. Ernst
Georgetown University Law Center
600 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-2075
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Books in the series Studies in Legal History, coedited by Thomas A. Green and Daniel R. Ernst
(Listed alphabetically by author; discount prices in bold; some quantities may be limited.)
Visit www.uncpress.unc.edu for more information and sample chapters.

The editors welcome submission of manuscripts for consideration by the Series. Please send to:

Professor Thomas A. Green
342 Hutchins Hall
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1215

Professor Daniel R. Ernst
Georgetown University Law Center
600 New Jersey Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20001-2075

Reconstructing the Household: Families, Sex, and the Law in the Nineteenth-Century South
by Peter W. Bardaglio
Winner of the 1996 James A. Rawley Prize, Organization of American Historians
384 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Great Catastrophe of My Life: Divorce in the Old Dominion
by Thomas E. Buckley, S.J.
360 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96; $19.95 pa $15.96
Protecting the Best Men: An Interpretive History of the Law of Libel
by Norman L. Rosenberg
380 pp., $24.95 pa $19.96

Women and the Law of Property in Early America
by Marylyn Salmon
285 pp., $19.95 pa $15.96

Laws Harsh as Tigers: Chinese Immigrants and the Shaping of Modern Immigration Law
by Lucy E. Salyer
Winner of the 1995 Theodore Saloutos Memorial Book Award, Immigration History Society
360 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96, $19.95 pa $15.96

American Legal Realism and Empirical Social Science
by John Henry Schlegel
432 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96

Law, Land, and Family: Aristocratic Inheritance in England, 1300 to 1800
by Eileen Spring
A 1995 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
212 pp., $19.95 pa $15.96

The Transformation of Criminal Justice: Philadelphia, 1800-1880
by Allen Steinberg
Winner of the 1990 Littleton-Griswold Prize, American Historical Association; A 1991 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
350 pp., $22.50 cl $18.00

The Invention of Free Labor: The Employment Relation in English and American Law and Culture, 1350-1870
by Robert J. Steinfeld
286 pp., $19.95 cl $15.96

Law School: Legal Education in America from the 1850s to the 1980s
by Robert Stevens
350 pp., $25.00 pa $20.00

Inventing the Criminal: A History of German Criminology, 1880-1945
by Richard F. Wetzel
368 pp., $39.95 cl $31.96

The Farmer's Benevolent Trust: Law and Agricultural Cooperation in Industrial America, 1865-1945
by Victoria Saker Woeste
Winner of the 2000 J. Willard Hurst Prize, Law & Society Association; A 1999 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
392 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00, $19.95 pa special price $8.95

20% discount on UNC Press titles of related interest
(Listed alphabetically by author; discount prices in bold; some quantities may be limited.)

Navigating Failure: Bankruptcy and Commercial Society in Antebellum America
by Edward J. Balleisen
(Luther Hartwell Hodges Series on Business, Society, and the State)
344 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $18.95 pa $15.16

Beyond Confederation: Origins of the Constitution and American National Identity
edited by Richard Beeman, Stephen Botein, and Edward C. Carter II
(Published for the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture)
376 pp., $22.50 pa $18.00

Under Sentence of Death: Lynching in the South
edited by W. Fitzhugh Brundage
344 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Other Founders: Anti-Federalism and the Dissenting Tradition in America, 1788-1828
by Saul Cornell
Winner of the 2001 Society of the Cincinnati Prize; A 2000 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
(Published for the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture)
352 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

Before Jim Crow: The Politics of Race in Postemancipation Virginia
by Jane Dailey
(Gender and American Culture)
292 pp., $39.95 cl $31.96; $17.95 pa $14.36

Women before the Bar: Gender, Law, and Society in Connecticut, 1639-1789
by Cornelia Hughes Dayton
(Published for the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture)
Winner of the 1996 Homer D. Babbidge Jr. Award, Association for the Study of Connecticut History; A 1996 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
400 pp., $59.95 cl $47.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Supreme Court and Legal Change: Abortion and the Death Penalty
by Lee Epstein and Joseph F. Kobylka
436 pp., $27.50 pa $22.00

Interpreting the Free Exercise of Religion: The Constitution and American Pluralism
by Bette Novit Evans
Winner of the 1998-2001 Alpha Sigma Nu Award, Assoc. of Jesuit Colleges and Universities
306 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Politics of American Religious Identity: The Seating of Senator Reed Smoot, Mormon Apostle
by Kathleen Flake
256 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $18.95 pa $15.16

Confronting the War Machine: Draft Resistance during the Vietnam War
by Michael S. Foley
472 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

by Gaines M. Foster
336 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Dixiecrat Revolt and the End of the Solid South, 1932-1968
by Kari Frederickson
328 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $18.95 pa $15.16

Contested Culture: The Image, the Voice, and the Law
by Jane M. Gaines
(Cultural Studies of the United States)
Winner of the 1992 Katherine Singer Kovacs Book Prize in Film, TV and Video Studies, Quarterly Review of Film and Video
360 pp., $25.00 pa $20.00

A Government by the People: Direct Democracy in America, 1890-1940
by Thomas Goebel
320 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $22.50 pa $18.00

Closing the Gate: Race, Politics, and the Chinese Exclusion Act
by Andrew Gyory
Winner of the 1998 Theodore Saloutos Memorial Award in Immigration History, Immigration History Society; A 1999 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
368 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $22.50 pa $18.00

Property Rights and Poverty: Political Argument in Britain, 1605-1834
by Thomas A. Horne
296 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00

Calculating the Value of the Union: Slavery, Property Rights, and the Economic Origins of the Civil War
by James L. Huston
(Civil War America)
416 pp., $45.00 cl $36.00

Sex and Citizenship in Antebellum America
by Nancy Isenberg
(Gender and American Culture)
Winner of the 1999 SHEAR Book Prize, Society for Historians of the Early American Republic
344 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96, $17.95 pa $14.36

The Great Silent Army of Abolitionism: Ordinary Women in the Antislavery Movement
by Julie Roy Jeffrey
Runner-up, First Frederick Douglass Book Prize, Gilder Lehrman Center for the Study of Slavery, Resistance, and Abolition; A 1999 Choice Outstanding Academic Title
328 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

Ben Tillman and the Reconstruction of White Supremacy
by Stephen Kantrowitz
Winner of the 2001 Ellis W. Hawley Prize, Organization of American Historians; Winner of the 2000 George C. Rogers Book Award, South Carolina Historical Society; A 2000 Outstanding Achievement Book, Wisconsin State Library Association
432 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

Breaking Loose Together: The Regulator Rebellion in Pre-Revolutionary North Carolina
by Marjoleine Kars
304 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

Women, Crime, and the Courts in Early Modern England
edited by Jenny Kermode and Garthine Walker
224 pp., $19.95 pa $15.96
Who Controls Public Lands?: Mining, Forestry, and Grazing Policies, 1870-1990
by Christopher McGrory Klyza
224 pp., $45.00 cl $36.00; $22.50 pa $18.00

Civil Rights Unionism: Tobacco Workers and the Struggle for Democracy in the
Mid-Twentieth-Century South
by Robert Rodgers Korstad
576 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $24.95 pa $19.96

Colorblind Injustice: Minority Voting Rights and the Undoing of the Second Reconstruction
by J. Morgan Kousser
Winner of the 1999 Lillian Smith Award, Southern Regional Council; Co-winner of the 2000
Ralph Bunche Award, American Political Science Association
608 pp., $65.00 cl $52.00; $29.95 pa $23.96

Between Authority and Liberty: State Constitution Making in Revolutionary America
by Marc W. Kruzan
238 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $18.95 pa $15.16

At America’s Gates: Chinese Immigration during the Exclusion Era, 1882-1943
by Erika Lee
352 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $19.95 pa $15.96

A License to Steal
by Leonard W. Levy
288 pp., $45.00 cl $36.00

Blasphemy: Verbal Offense against the Sacred, from Moses to Salman Rushdie
by Leonard W. Levy
700 pp., $35.00 pa $28.00

The Establishment Clause: Religion and the First Amendment
300 pp., $49.95 cl $39.96; $19.95 pa $15.96

The Papers of John Marshall
(Published for the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture)
Vol. I: Correspondence and Papers, November 10, 1775-June 23, 1788, and Account Book, September 1783-June 1788
edited by Herbert A. Johnson, Charles T. Cullen and Nancy G. Harris
494 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. II: Correspondence and Papers, July 1788-December 1795, and Account Book, July
1788-December 1795
edited by Charles T. Cullen and Herbert A. Johnson
583 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. III: Correspondence and Papers, January 1796-December 1798
582 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. IV: Correspondence and Papers, January 1799-October 1800
edited by Charles T. Cullen. Leslie Tobias, Assistant Editor.
397 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. V: Selected Law Cases, 1784-1800
edited by Charles F. Hobson. Fredrika J. Teute, Associate Editor. George H. Hoemann,
Assistant Editor. Ingrid M. Hillinger, Consulting Editor.
653 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. VI: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, November 1800-March 1807
edited by Charles F. Hobson. Fredrika J. Teute, Associate Editor.
612 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. VII: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, April 1807-December 1813
edited by Charles F. Hobson
522 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. VIII: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, March 1814-December 1819
edited by Charles F. Hobson
460 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Volume IX: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, January 1820-December
1823
edited by Charles F. Hobson
440 pp., $75.00 cl $60.00

Vol. X: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, January 1824-April 1827
edited by Charles F. Hobson
496 pp., $66.00 cl $52.80

Vol. XI: Correspondence, Papers, and Selected Judicial Opinions, April 1827-December 1830
edited by Charles F. Hobson
472 pp., $70.00 cl $56.00
Laboratories of Virtue: Punishment, Revolution, and Authority in Philadelphia, 1760-1835
by Michael Meranze
(Published for the Omohundro Institute of Early American History and Culture)
352 pp., $19.95 cl (reduced price) $15.96

by Timothy J. Minchin
296 pp., $55.00 cl $44.00; $24.95 pa $19.96
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